

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/982,372	DUTTA, AMIT
	Examiner Jason T. Whipkey	Art Unit 2622

**All Participants:**

(1) Jason Whipkey.

**Status of Application:** Now abandoned

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Sandy Mendez.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 August 2006

**Time:** 10:10 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant called the office of the attorney for Applicant to verify that no response to the final rejection had been sent. The office confirmed that the case was abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



VIVEK SRIVASTAVA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)